2014 MA Healthcare Ltd

Medical honey and its role in paediatric patients

Anne Weissenstein, Elisabeth Luchter and Stefan Bittmann

Abstract

Background: The use of complementary medical treatment in wound management has continued to grow throughout the world. There is a large body of evidence that supports the use of honey as a wound dressing for a wide range of wound types. The authors present an update of present knowledge about honey as a form of complementary medicine in paediatric wound management. Methods: The literature cited was found by searching the PubMed, BIOSIS and ISI Web of Science databases for the phrase 'honey and wound'. Papers where honey was used in a mixture with other therapeutic substances were excluded. Randomised controlled trials as well as case studies were taken into consideration. Results: This paper reviews data on the effectiveness of honey in wound healing; 80 citations or references were found that matched the criteria. Furthermore, the wound-healing properties of honey are described and the mechanism of action discussed. The authors' data show that honey induced enhanced epithelialisation, minimised scar formations and had an anti-microbiotic effect. Conclusion: These results should encourage the use of medical honey in the field of paediatrics. It is a safe and natural substance that induces wound healing at a greater rate than conventional methods.

Key words: Honey ■ Paediatric ■ Child ■ Wound ■ Infection

variety of different unhealed wounds, burns and ulcers exist, which have a great impact on public health and economy (Molan, 1999). Numerous interventions, such as new medications and technologies, are currently being used to stimulate wound healing and to eliminate infections. In this context, the finding of an intervention that would both have a therapeutic effect and help eliminate microbes would be of immense value for children and adults with immunosuppression or resistance to antibiotic treatment.

Honey is a viscous, supersaturated sugar solution derived from nectar gathered and modified by the honeybee, *Apis mellifera*. A natural product, it has recently been introduced into modern medical practice. It has a long history of use as a medical substance. Stone Age paintings in several locations dating to 6000 BC or earlier depict honey hunting,

Anne Weissenstein is Research Assistant, Elisabeth Luchter is Senior Research Assistant and Stefan Bittmann is Chief of Ped Mind Institute, Germany.

Accepted for publication: July 2013

documenting human use of honey for at least 8000 years. References to honey as a medicine, or rather as an ingredient carrier vehicle, are found in ancient scrolls, tablets and books (Riddle, 1985; Molan, 1992). The first mention of honey as the primary medicine for wound treatment was by the anonymous author of the Edwin Smith papyrus, an Egyptian surgical text that was written in 2600–2200 BC (Breasted, 1930). Furthermore the early Ayurvedic, Chinese and Roman medical traditions used honey in wound care (Johnson, 1992; Fu et al, 2001; Hajar, 2003).

The effective mechanisms of honey on wounds are manifold. It is postulated that honey causes significantly greater wound contraction than in controls, and enhances the epitheliasation of wounds (Hejase et al, 1996; Oladejo et al, 2003). Honey also appears to draw fluid from the underlying circulation and therefore provide a moist environment as well as topical nutrition that may enhance tissue growth, the synthesis of collagen and the development of new blood vessels in the wound bed (Bergman et al, 1983; Molan, 1999). Another very important aspect of medical honey is its antimicrobial properties, suggesting that it might be a real alternative to the use of antibiotics and other chemotherapeutic agents for the treatment of wound infections. The antibacterial activity of honey was first reported in 1882 (Cooper, 2005). It was subsequently found that hydrogen peroxide might be an important factor, as the antimicrobial activity increased when honey was diluted with water.

Over the past decade, the interest in using honey for wound treatments in paediatrics has grown considerably. That is why it is important to analyse the current data in order to assess in detail how the honey works, whether there are side-effects and, most importantly, whether honey has the proposed effects in wound healing.

Methods

The authors report an update on historical and general aspects of honey, and review the few published data concerning honey as a form of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) used in paediatric wound management. The literature cited was found by searching the PubMed, BIOSIS and ISI Web of Science databases for the term 'honey and wound'. Excluded were papers where honey was used in a mixture with other therapeutic substances. Randomised controlled trials as well as case studies were taken into consideration.

Results

Eighty citations or references were found that matched the criteria. In several studies, honey was used to dress wounds

(burn wounds, wounds infected with *Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), postoperative wounds). The results showed an increase in healing, a prompt successful graft, increased debridement and a removal of dry crust. Furthermore, the wounds were deodorised and cleansed (Keast–Butler, 1980; Ndayisaba et al, 1992; Subrahmanyam, 1994; Osato et al, 1999; Baghel et al, 2009). In addition, it was found that honey is effective in treating infected wounds, as it has antibacterial activity against different types of bacteria and viruses (Cooper et al, 1999; 2002; Osato et al, 1999; Taormina et al, 2001). Several clinical case studies and randomised trials report that wounds that were treated with honey have a significant lower bacterial colonisation in 3–10 days (Mossel, 1980; Tovey, 1991).

A number of characteristics found in honey are essential for wound healing: nitric oxide (NO), prostaglandins, osmolarity, hydrogen peroxide, and increased lymphocytic and phagocytic activity. Honey has the ability to increase NO production in the tissue (Al-Waili et al, 2004). NO is important for the reduction of bacteria, viral inhibition and healing (Efron et al, 2000; Childress and Stechmiller, 2002) and is therefore an important factor in the increased wound healing in honey. Moreover, NO has been shown to play a role in the host defence against various bacterial and viral infections (Schwentker et al, 2002), which would explain the antibacterial activity of honey.

Honey also has the ability to decrease the synthesis of prostaglandins (Al-Waili, 2005). As they are the mediators of

inflammation and commonly regarded as immunosuppressive (Phipps et al, 1991), their reduction could explain honey's therapeutic effects. Furthermore, it is known that bacteria, in order to grow, need sufficient water (Chirife et al, 1982). Honey, because of its high osmolarity, is able to draw water from wounds, preventing the growth of bacteria and inducing healing (Archer et al, 1990). Further, the effect of honey on wound healing may be partially related to the stimulation of inflammatory cytokines from monocytic cells, which are known to be an important factor for healing and tissue repair (Tonks et al, 2003). A UK study analysed the effect of three honeys (manuka, pasture and jelly bush) on the release of inflammatory cytokines from MM6 cells. Together with a sugar-syrup control, these honey were incubated with MM6 cells. The results proved that natural honey is able to significantly increase the TNF-alpha, IL-1 alpha and IL-6 release from MM6 cells, as well as human monocytes; the so-called proinflammatory inhibitors.

Numerous early studies have also described the antimicrobial activity of honey (Molan, 1992). The most effective honey type is leptospermum (manuka). Different studies have shown that it inhibits the growth of antibiotic-resistant strains of microorganisms both in vivo (Blaser et al, 2007) and vitro (Cooper et al, 1999). In in-vitro studies, manuka honey minimised bacterial colonisation on agar plates.

Another important mechanism of honey on wound healing is that honey is easy to apply and remove (Efem, 1993) and does not stick to the underlying wound tissues.

BJN UROLOGY

Supplement published twice a year covering all aspects of urology nursing from infection prevention to uro-oncology and long-term conditions

The British Journal of Nursing (BJN) Urology Supplement aims to promote evidence-based practice and the provision of high quality patient care. We welcome unsolicited articles including literature reviews, care studies and original research in all areas of urology. To contribute to the supplement or to enquire about how to become a peer reviewer in this area, contact us at bjn@markallengroup.com or 0207 501 6702.

2014 MA Healthcare Ltd

However, there are numerous potential risks for honey therapy: mad honey poisoning, allergy to honey, infant botulism and pain are the most known complications after honey intake.

Mad honey poisoning

In cases of mad honey poisoning, cardial rhythm disturbances like bradycardia or atrioventricular block have been known, as have convulsions, vomiting, sweating, blurred vision, chills and cyanosis (Dilber et al, 2002; Akinci et al, 2008). Honey from the Black Sea region in particular contains grayanotoxin I, which is responsible for honey poisoning. Different forms of grayanotoxins have been isolated from the leaves and flowers of the rhododendron and some other plants (Dilber et al, 2002).

Allergy to honey

Honey allergy is extremely rare, but can result in anaphylaxis. Allergy may be due to plant and bee proteins, and pollen found in the honey (Tuncel et al, 2011). Only case reports and case series of honey allergy with limited number of patients have been reported in adults. Tuncel et al (2011) described one case of anaphylaxis caused by honey, which to the authors' knowledge is the first.

Infant botulism

Infant botulism is a rare condition caused by contamination of honey with clostridia spores. It occurs mainly in infants younger than 12 months of age; nearly 95% of cases occur in the first 6 months of life (Molan, 1999). In the literature, no cases of topical medical honey application were found to induce infant botulism. Germinating clostridia spores are colonised in the gut of the infant after oral intake, leading to constipation as the first sign of botulism. Concerns about wound infection from clostridia spores seem to be unfounded, as no such complication has been described in more than 500 reports (Molan and Betts, 2004).

Pain

Some patients experience pain on application of honey. In these cases, higher concentrations of honey seem to induce higher levels of pain (Ozlugedik et al, 2006; Mphande et al, 2007), whereas the high osmotic potential (drawing pain) and/or low pH may be the cause. Nevertheless, pain does not appear to exert a negative effect on healing rates, but it can influence patients' quality of life, depending on their tolerance. In a study comparing aciclovir and honey in treating labial herpes, significant lower pain duration was found in the honey group (Al-Waili, 2004). These findings were found in an adult population, but to date there have been no child studies.

Several studies compared the effect of honey on wound healing against the standard therapy. In a randomised clinical trial, Robson et al (2009) compared the effect of honey versus conventional wound dressing in a sample of 105 adult patients. The median time to healing in the honey group was 100 days compared with 140 days in the control group. Jull et al (2008) compared the healing rates of venous leg ulcers dressed with calcium alginate dressings impregnated with manuka honey

KEY POINTS

- There is substantial scientific evidence supporting the use of honey in pediatric wound management
- Honey appears to be an effective alternative to wound management in children and adults
- Because of the irradiation of medical honey the risk of side effects in children is very low

with standard treatment (non-adherent, alginate, hydrogel, hydrocolloid, silver dressing) in 368 patients. These patients were randomised and, after 12 weeks, there was a 5.9% increase in healing in favour of honey, although this did not reach statistical significance.

Other authors have reviewed the use of honey as a wound dressing and concluded that there is a lack of high-quality comparative evidence for both conventional and unconventional treatments such as honey (Lusby, 2002; Gethin, 2004). In a systematic review, Gethin (2004) concluded that the evidence available from seven comparative studies on 264 patients was limited by lack of blinding, poor reporting and poor validity.

Discussion

Wound management in the paediatric field is difficult, as it is often time-consuming, expensive and may not lead to satisfying results. Many different dressing products exist, but few of them have undergone the analysis of randomised controlled trials.

Other authors argue that there are not enough high-level studies on the use of honey as a wound treatment, meaning a definite recommendation cannot be made and a first-choice treatment for wounds still has not been found. Machin and Campbell (2005) have argued that the strongest evidence is derived from double-blind randomised controlled trials, followed by single-blind randomised controlled trials, open randomised controlled trials, non-randomised studies, case-control studies and finally case series. On the other hand, controlled studies and good case studies often have a message that may be useful for further research in this interesting field.

The scientific evidence for using conventional wound-care products, especially in paediatric patients, is poor. No prospective randomised studies have been done in this particular age group. The results of Simon el al (2006), dealing with wound care with antibacterial honey (Medihoney) in paediatric haematology-oncology, are promising. Simon reported that an immunocompromised paediatric patient with acute myeloic leukaemia and wound infection with methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative *staphylococci* and vancomycin-resistant *enterococci* received a topical medical honey application, which led to a successful healing without local or systemic complications. Simon et al (2006) also reported that 15 paediatric oncology patients with different oncologic diseases were successfully treated with topical, y-irradiated MedihoneyTM.

Extensive randomised controlled trials are needed to develop clear guidelines for paediatric wound management, particularly in children. Taking into account all data published so far, it is not yet possible to introduce clear guidelines.

Conclusion

In microbiological and clinical tests, honey offers many advantages in controlling bacterial growth, and also in the treatment of certain health problems such as gastric ulcers, burn wounds, leg ulcers and wounds in adults. The antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and physical properties of honey make it a logical and accepted natural agent for wound dressing in paediatrics. In both industrialised and developing countries, honey offers a cost-effective therapy to treat paediatric wound infections (Tovey, 1991).

In children, topical honey application may lead to allergic reactions and pain episodes. Nevertheless, it has great potential. To formulate clear guidelines for paediatric wound treatment, further intensive research is needed.

Conflict of interest: none

AL-Waili NS (2005) Effect of honey on urinary excretion of prostaglandin and

nitric oxide urinary nitrite. Int Urol Nephrol 37(1): 107–11

Al-Waili NS and Boni NS (2004) Honey increased saliva, plasma, and urine content of total nitrite concentrations in normal individuals. I Med Food

Al-Waili NS (2004) Topical honey application vs. acyclovir for the treatment of recurrent herpes simplex lesions. Med Sci Monit 10(8): MT94-8

Archer HG et al (1990) A controlled model of moist wound healing: comparison between semi-permeable film, antiseptics and sugar paste. J Exp Pathol (Oxford) 71(2): 155–70

Baghel PS et al (2009) A comparative study to evaluate the effect of honey dressing and silver sulfadiazene dressing on wound healing in burn patients. Indian J Plast Surg 42(2): 176-81

Bergman A et al (1983) Acceleration of wound healing by topical application of honey. An animal model. Am J Surg 145(3): 374-6

Blaser G et al (2007) Effect of medical honey on wounds colonised or infected with MRSA. J Wound Care 16(8): 325-8

Breasted JH (1930) The Edwin Smith Papyrus: Published in Facsimile and Hieroglyphic Transliteration. University of Chicago Press, Chicago

Childress BB and JK Stechmiller (2002) Role of nitric oxide in wound healing. Biol Res Nurs 4(1): 5-15

Chirife J, Scarmato G, Herszage L (1982) Scientific basis for use of granulated sugar in treatment of infected wounds. Lancet 1(8271): 560-1

Cooper RA (2005) The antimicrobial activity of honey. In: Honey: A Modern Wound Management Product. White R, Cooper RA, Molan P (eds) Wounds UK Publishing, Aberdeen

Cooper RA, Halas E, Molan P (2002) The efficacy of honey in inhibiting strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa from infected burns. J Burn Care Rehabil 23(6): 366-70

Cooper RA, Molan P, Harding KG (1999) Antibacterial activity of honey against strains of Staphylococcus aureus from infected wounds. I R Soc Med **92**(6): 283–5

Dilber E et al (2002) A case of mad honey poisoning presenting with convulsion: intoxication instead of alternative therapy. Turk J Med Sci 32: 361-2

Efem SE (1993) Recent advances in the management of Fournier's gangrene: preliminary observations. Surgery 113(2): 200–4 Efron DT, Most D, Barbul A (2000) Role of nitric oxide in wound healing. Curr

Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 3(3): 197-204

Fu X, Wang Z, Sheng Z (2001) Advances in wound healing research in China: from antiquity to present. Wound Repair Regen 9(1): 2-10

Gethin G (2004) Is there enough clinical evidence to use honey to manage wounds? I Wound Care 13(7): 275-8

Hajar R (2003) Honey: from folklore to medical marvel. Heart Views 3(4): 180-8 Hejase MJ et al (1996) Genital Fournier's gangrene: experience with 38 patients. Urology 47(5): 734-9

Johnson A (1992) A short history of wound dressings: from animal grease and lint to hydorcolloids and alginates. Ostomy/Wound Manage 38: 36-40.

Jull A et al (2008) Randomized clinical trial of honey-impregnated dressings for venous leg ulcers. Br J Surg 95(2): 175–82

Keast-Butler J (1980) Honey for necrotic malignant breast ulcers. Lancet 2(8198) 809

Lusby PE, Coombes A, Wilkinson JM (2002) Honey: a potent agent for wound healing? J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs 29(6): 95–300

Machin D and Campbell MJ (2005) The Design of Studies for Medical Research. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford

Molan PC (1992) The antibacterial activity of honey: 1. The nature of the antibacterial activity. Bee World 73(1): 5-28

Molan PC and Betts JA (2004) Clinical usage of honey as a wound dressing: an update. J Wound Care 13(9): 353-6

Molan PC (1999) The role of honey in the management of wounds. J Wound Care 8(8): 415-8

Mossel DA (1980) Honey for necrotic breast ulcers. Lancet 2(8203): 1091

Mphande AN et al (2007) Effects of honey and sugar dressings on wound healing. J Wound Care 16(7): 317-9

Ndayisaba G, Bazira L, Habonimana E (1992) [Treatment of wounds with honey. 40 cases.] Presse Med 21(32): 1516-8

Oladejo OW et al (2003) A comparative study of the wound healing properties of honey and Ageratum conyzoides. Afr J Med Med Sci 32(2): 193-6

Osato MS, Reddy SG, Graham DY (1994) Osmotic effect of honey on growth and viability of Helicobacter pylori. Dig Dis Sci 44(3): 462-4

Ozlugedik S et al (2006) Can postoperative pains following tonsillectomy be relieved by honey? A prospective, randomized, placebo controlled preliminary study. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 70(11): 1929–34

Phipps RP, Stein SH, Roper RL (1991) A new view of prostaglandin E regulation of the immune response. Immunol Today 12(10): 349-52

Riddle JM (1985) Dioscorides on Pharmacy and Medicine. University of Texas Press, Austin

Robson VS, Dodd S, Thomas S (2009) Standardized antibacterial honey (Medihoney) with standard therapy in wound care: randomized clinical trial. J Adv Nurs 65(3): 565-75

Schwentker A et al (2002) Nitric oxide and wound repair: role of cytokines? Nitric Oxide **7**(1): 1–10

Simon A et al (2006) Wound care with antibacterial honey (Medihoney) in paediatric haematology-oncology. Support Care Cancer 14(1): 91-7

Subrahmanyam M (1994) Honey-impregnated gauze versus amniotic membrane in the treatment of burns. Burns 20(4): 331-3

Taormina PJ, Niemira BA, Beuchat LR (2001) Inhibitory activity of honey against foodborne pathogens as influenced by the presence of hydrogen peroxide and level of antioxidant power. Int J Food Microbiol 69(3): 217-25

Tonks AJ et al (2003) Honey stimulates inflammatory cytokine production from monocytes. Cytokine 21(5): 242-7

Tovey FI (1991) Honey and healing. J R Soc Med 84(7): 447

Tuncel T et al (2011) Anaphylaxis caused by honey ingestion in an infant. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr) 39(2): 112-3

- Illustrates how reflective practice can fulfil a need for all health care professionals to make sense of their clinical experience
- Discusses the clinical implications of the principles and processes associated with reflective practice
- Represents a variety of models and classifies and critiques them
- Includes practical suggestions along with discussion of their advantages and limitations

ISBN-13: 978-1-85642-248-2; ISBN-10: 1-85642-248-8; 234 x 156 mm; paperback; 260 pages; publication 2008; £24.99

Order your copies by visiting www.quaybooks.co.uk

or call our Hotline +44(0)1722 716 935

